

## UNITED STAT: PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

(	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED AT	PUCANT	1,,	ATTY DOCKET, NO 11 700 s	
•	08/799.	913 02/1	3/97 KARIN		115	<u> </u>	
		,, [		EXAMINER			
	18M2/0922				PATTERSON.C		
	LISA A HAILE FISH AND RICHARDSON 4225 EXECUTIVE SQUARE						
						PAPER NUMBER	
	SUITE 1				1814	Ø C	
	LA JOLL	A CA 92037				09/22/97	
				D	ATE MAILED:	Q 3 / 41.42 /	
,	This is a communication f COMMISSIONER OF PA	rom the examiner in	charge of your application.				
`	DOMINISSIONER OF FA	IENIS AND INADE	MARNO				
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY							
	<b>)</b>		/ /a				
<i></i>	Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on	2/18/97			J	
_			( 6; 1-6-7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
י ט	This action is FINAL.						
ø⁄s	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in						
√ 8	accordance with the pr	actice under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 C	).G. 213.	menta 18		
A sha	ortened statutons socia	rd for reconnect	his action is set to expire	7		·	
which	never is longer, from th	e mailing date of t	nis action is set to expire his communication. Failure to re	spond within the perio	month(s), or th	ITY days,	
the a	pplication to become a	bandoned. (35 U	S.C. § 133). Extensions of time	may be obtained under	er the provision	is of 37 CFR	
1.136	6(a).			-		•	
Dispo	osition of Claims						
			1 N 10				
Z7 0	Claim(s)	12,14	15 4/		_is/are pendir	g in the application.	
_	Of the above, claim(s)	<u>. ( (</u>	15 9/7	is/	are withdrawn	from consideration.	
	Daim(s)					is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s)	14.115	9.17			s/are rejected.	
=	Claim(s)(			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		are objected to.	
	Xaim(s)		<del></del>	are subject to	restriction or	election requirement.	
Appli	cation Papers						
-/	-						
⊬ s	See the attached Notice	e of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48.			
			i	s/are objected to by the	e Examiner.		
	he proposed drawing			is	approved	disapproved.	
_	he specification is obj	•					
י ט	he oath or declaration	is objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
_							
□ ^	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
_							
느	received.						
Ļ	received in Applica	tion No. (Series C	ode/Serial Number)		<u>.</u>		
L	] received in this nat	ional stage applica	tion from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Cé	ertified copies not rece	ived:					
_				······································		<del></del>	
_	cknowledgment is made	de of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e).			
Attacl	hment(s)						
	********						
	atice of Reference Cit	ed. PTO-892					
1 /	formation Disclosure		1440 Discontinue				
_`			- raper No(s):	<u>.                                    </u>			
ーマノ	Interview Summary, PTO-413						
AT N	otice of Draftperson's	Patent Drawing Re	eview, PTO-948				
□ N	otice of Informal Pater	nt Application, PTC	-152				
_		44					

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

The first paragraph of the specification now reads "This is a continuation of copending application Serial No. 08/444,393, filed May 19, 1995, now U.S. Patent 5,605,808, which is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. Serial No. 08/220,602, filed March 25, 1994, which is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. Serial No. 08/094,533 filed July 19, 1993". Apparently it should read "This is a continuation of copending application Serial No. 08/444,393, filed May 19, 1995, now U.S. Patent 5,605,808, which is a divisional of 08/276,860, filed July 18, 1994, now U.S. Patent 5,593,884, which is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. Serial No. 08/220,602, filed March 25, 1994, which is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. Serial No. 08/094,533 filed July 19, 1993". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 is confusing and indefinite in the recitation of "is carried out under conditions b) measuring..." Parts of the claim have apparently been left out. Apparently "sufficient to allow the components to interact; and" was intended to be between "conditions" and "b) measuring" in the recitation *supra*, as in U.S. Patent 5,605,808. The assumption is made that this was intended in the following action.

Claims 12, 14, 15 and 17 are directed to the same invention as that of claims 24-31 of commonly assigned application 08/604,334. The

issue of priority under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) and possibly 35 U.S.C. 102(f) of this single invention must be resolved.

Since the Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP § 2302), the assignee is required to state which entity is the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter. A terminal disclaimer has no effect in this situation since the basis for refusing more than one patent is priority of invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) and not an extension of monopoly.

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a holding of abandonment of the application.

Claims 12, 14, 15 and 17 are directed to an invention not patentably distinct from claims 24-31 of commonly assigned application 08/604,334.

Commonly assigned application 08/604,334 would form the basis for a rejection of the noted claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103 if the commonly assigned case qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) and the conflicting inventions were not commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made. In order for the examiner to resolve this issue, the assignee is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(c) to either show that the conflicting inventions were commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made or to name the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a holding of abandonment of the application. A showing that the inventions were commonly owned at the

time the invention in this application was made will preclude a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based upon the commonly assigned case as a reference under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g).

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 12, 14, 15 and 17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 24-31 of copending application Serial No. 08/604,334. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because essentially the only difference between these claims and the claims of 08/604,334 are that the claims in that case are drawn to identifying a composition affecting a C-jun kinase while the claims in this application are drawn to one of the specific kinases in the specification. An art rejection was made in the parent application that resulted in this limitation being added. This art rejection also has been made in 08/604,334.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

Claims 12, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter.

Claims 24-31 of 08/604,334 are directed to the same thing as the instant claims. Neither the inventive entity or assignee are the same as the instant application.

No art rejection is being made because the kinase of the instant claims has been found to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Patterson, Jr., Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1834. The examiner can normally be reached on any day of the week from 7:30 AM until 4:00 pm.If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Wax, can be reached on (703) 308-4216. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-4242.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [robert.wax@uspto.gov].All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Patterson September 18, 1997

> CHARLES L. PATTERSON, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800